

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Southern District of Indiana

United States of America

v.

Jesus Soto-Ozuna
a/k/a "Neri", a/k/a "Chuy"

Case No: 1:11CR0025-002

USM No: 09944-028

Date of Original Judgment: 04/18/2013

Date of Previous Amended Judgment:

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Pro Se

Defendant's Attorney

ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of [X] the defendant [] the Director of the Bureau of Prisons [] the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

[X] DENIED. [] GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Mr. Soto-Ozuna's sentence was imposed pursuant to an 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement. In addition, his original sentence was a variance that is the low-end of the amended guideline range. For these reasons, he is not eligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 782.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 6/30/2016

Handwritten signature of Judge Tanya Walton Pratt

Effective Date: (if different from order date)

Honorable Tanya Walton Pratt, U.S. District Court Judge

Printed name and title

